

| | | | |
|--|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| <i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i> | | Application No. 10/632,437 | Applicant(s) MOLICONE ET AL. |
| | | Examiner AMELIA RUTLEDGE | Art Unit 2176 |

All Participants:

(1) Amelia Rutledge - USPTO.

(2) Sung Kim - applicant's representative.

Date of Interview: 27 April 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.
(4) _____

Time: _____

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
Rejections under 35 USC 103(a)

Claims discussed:
1-26

Prior art documents discussed:
Bradley, Maxwell, XML Companion

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Discussed the rejections of claims 1-26 under 35 USC 103(a), applicant's arguments, and agreement was reached for the Examiner's Amendment attached.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Amelia Rutledge/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2176

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)